

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/784,884	02/16/2001	Thomas S. Buszta	IR 3593 NP	5207
7590 05/22/2003			5	
Gilbert W. Rudman ATOFINA Chemicals, Inc. Patent Department - 26th Floor			EXAMINER	
			MEDLEY, MARGARET B	
2000 Market St Philadelphia, P.			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
,			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ann s	5		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/784,884	BUSZTA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Margaret B. Medley	1714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period f r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 N</u>	<u> 1arch 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,9 and 10</u> is/are pending in the a	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		<u> </u>			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		approved by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C &	119/a)-(d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 60 0.0.0.3	110(a) (a) 51 (1).			
	s have been received				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•				
application from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application	n).		
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prof</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li> </ul>	• •				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Int	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is in response to Paper No. 4 dated March 3, 2003.

The amendment to the specification and to claims 1, 7 and 9-10 and the cancellation of claims 6 and 8 have been entered of record.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 depends on claim 8 that has been cancelled. The claim will be treated on the merits as depending from claim 1. However, clarification to the record for claim 9 dependency is requested

The 102(b) rejection over Roof '647, Miller '450 and Jackson '672 are withdrawn in view of applicants' amendments to the claims and arguments made of record.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor

Application/Control Number: 09/784,884

Art Unit: 1714

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5, 7 and 9-10 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson 4,293,672 for reasons made of record in Paper No. 2 dated October 23, 2002.

Claims 1-5, 7 and 9-10 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller 4,654,450 for reasons made of record in Paper No. 2 dated October 23, 2002.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-5, 7 and 9-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of U.S. Patent No. US 6,495,065 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the shortstopping

composition comprising a hydrophilic alkyl hydroxylamine and hydrophobic free radical shortstopping agent alkyl hydroxylamine of applicants anticipate and/or render obvious the composition for shortstopping free radical emulsion polymerizations comprising nitrosamine and wherein the shortstopped is diethyl hydroxylamine and or dibutylhydroxylamine of patentee.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5, 7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 1 it is suggested that applicants should delete line 1 and insert the suggested language "A composition for shortstopping free radical emulsion polymerizations for clarity."

Applicant's arguments filed March 4, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The objection to the specification is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment to the specification.

Applicants' arguments in respect to the instant claim are not convincing of error in that the claims are directed to compositions and the intended use is not a part of the composition. The composition of Jackson renders obvious the set forth in the said reference.

Applicants arguments that Miller does not teach the hydroxylamines into the two classes based on the number of carbons in the dialkyl group is irrelevant in that Miller teaches that the preferred hydroxylamine includes diethyl hydroxylamine and dibutylhydroxylamine (col. 3, lines 11-14). Thus Miller clearly provides teachings directed to hydroxylamines rendering the instant claims obvious.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret B. Medley whose telephone number is (703) 308-2518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday--Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

M.B. Medley/dh May 21, 2003 MARGARET MEDLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER